### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	REC'D	30	MAR	2005
•	∵"PO			PGT

From the

NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY							
To:							
10.							

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/B2005/050167

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year) 23.01.2004

14.01.2005

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04L29/06, H04L12/56, H04L12/28

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS GMBH

This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

☑ Box No. 1

Basis of the opinion

☐ Box No. II

Priority

Box No. III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Box No. IV

Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI

Certain documents cited

☑ Box No. VII

Certain defects in the international application

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

**FURTHER ACTION** 

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

**Authorized Officer** 

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Gunther, S

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-6962

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050167

_	Box N	o. I	Basis of the opinion			
1.	With re	garo	internation	al application	on in	
	la	ngua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the originage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes r Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	al language of internati	into the fo onal search	llowing 1
2.	With reneces	egaro sary	rd to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the inter to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis	national ap of:	plication ar	ıd
	a. type	of n	material:			•
		a s	sequence listing			
		tab	ble(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. form	nat o	of material:			
		in v	written format			
		in c	computer readable form	•		
	c. time	of fi	filing/furnishing:			
		COI	ontained in the international application as filed.	•		
		file	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.		•	
		fur	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	h: Ci	as be	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listice of the first that the information in the significant in the information in the significant in the application as filed or does not go beyond the opriate, were furnished.	e subseque	III OF ACCIUM	Julai
4.	Additi	onal	I comments:			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-7

No: Claims

1

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-7

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-7

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

security association for en-/decryption.

- 3.4. Supported by the hint in D1 (a "encrypt transmitted data based on physical location" (page 5, lines 7-8), an encryption based on the values of measured, predefined ambient parameters is the straightforward measure as D1 discloses determination of a physical location based on detected signal strengths (see, e.g., page 21, lines 1-10).
- 4. The additional features of the dependent claims do not add anything new or inventive to the independent claims because the features are either known from the above cited prior art (ambient parameters consist of optical signals, time-dynamic values, internal apparatus is access point) or are common measures (pre-exchanged public keys, ambient parameters consist of acoustic signals).

#### Re Item VII

#### Certain defects in the international application

- 1. The independent claims are not in the two-part form, Rule 6.3(b) PCT.
- 2. The relevant background art is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein, Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT.

#### Re Item VIII

#### Certain observations on the international application

- 1. The application comprises two independent method claims having many features in common. Therefore, the application is not concise, Article 6 PCT.
- 2. Notwithstanding the clarity problems caused by "particularly", see below, the expression "in home networks" in claim 1 casts doubts as to whether protection is sought for a method of authentication for use in a home network or for a home network adapted to perform the method of authentication, Article 6 PCT.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050167

This findings also applies to independent claim 2.

3. The term "particularly" in claim 1 is vague. It is not clear if the following feature should be comprised in the scope of protection or not, Article 6 PCT.

This is also valid for independent claim 2.

4. In claim 2, an antecedent definition for "the required configuration data" is missing, Article 6 PCT.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Separate Sheet) (Sheet 3) (EPO-January 2004)